CASE STUDY NO. 03 · LUXURY CONSUMER PSYCHOLOGY

A Room That Knows You.

A personal study in sensory luxury, atmospheric design, and the psychology of felt belonging.

By Harnoor Jhinzer

Psychology & Business · Independent Research · 2026

I · THE MOMENT

Barcelona, and the Feeling That Came Immediately

It takes fifteen seconds. That is all. Fifteen seconds to scan a room and understand whether a place has earned the word *luxury* — not from a brochure, not from a star rating, but from something you feel before you have the language to explain it. I have done this scan in over fifteen hotels across the world, in cities from Dubai to Milan to Barcelona, and by now it operates almost involuntarily: the eyes sweep the lighting, the nose catches the air, the ears register the ambient pitch of the room, and something deeper — some interior barometer — tells you whether this place is going to make you feel special, lived-in, or merely expensive.

The Kimpton Vividora, Barcelona. Gothic Quarter. First Kimpton in Spain, opened 2020, designed by local studio Equipo Creativo. One hundred and fifty-one rooms built to feel like Barcelona apartments — Mediterranean palette, handmade ceramics, natural wood, sleek marble. The kind of place that could easily have been beautiful and completely hollow.

It wasn’t. The lobby water was infused with lemon and mint. Soft music was playing — not filler, something considered. The lighting was warm and low. And the staff were dressed like they belonged in a Barcelona television series, which in forty-degree heat is either a remarkable feat of professionalism or air conditioning I wasn’t invited to use. Either way, they looked effortless. That was the signal.

The room had a rotary telephone. Gold and white accents. Heavy, round, completely anachronistic. It had no business being there and yet the moment I saw it I felt, not wealthy exactly, but rich. The distinction matters. Wealthy is a financial state. Rich is a felt one. Someone had placed that telephone on that bedside table and thought: this is going to make someone feel something. They were right.

But none of that was where it started. It started when the bellboy smiled at me like my arrival had improved his afternoon. When the receptionist looked at us — not past us, not through us — like we were the point. When the businessmen in the lobby moved with that particular quiet confidence, and the space made me feel, without announcing it, like I belonged among them.

That’s the thing I keep coming back to. Not the design, not the marble, not even the telephone. It was that I felt, within fifteen seconds, that the hotel had prepared itself for someone like me. That is not an accident. That is a decision.

II. WHY SENSORY LUXURY IS DIFFERENT

The Feeling Arrives Before the Thought Does

Most luxury brands still talk about their products as if the product is the point. The craftsmanship. The materials. The heritage. And none of that is wrong, exactly. It's just downstream of what actually happens in a guest's nervous system when they walk into a room.

Here's what actually happens: scent arrives first. Not metaphorically — physiologically. Olfactory signals bypass the thalamus entirely and go directly to the limbic system, the part of the brain responsible for emotion and memory. Every other sense has to pass through a relay station first. Smell does not. It is the only direct line from the outside world to the emotional brain, which is why a specific scent can produce a feeling before you've had a single conscious thought about it.[1]

The Vividora knew this, or behaved as if it did. The mint-and-lemon water in the lobby was not a refreshment. It was a limbic event. Before I had registered the design or assessed the space or formed any opinion whatsoever, my body had already received a message: *you are safe, you are somewhere considered, you have arrived.* Everything that followed was experienced through that filter.

Then sound. Then light. Then the texture of the key card and the weight of the room's silence. Hung, Hsu and Yang (2024), in a study of Chinese luxury hotel consumers using the Zaltman Metaphor Elicitation Technique — a methodology that pulls the nonverbal, image-based memories people actually carry, not just what they report — found that fourteen of their sixteen participants cited hotel ambience as a core element of luxury experience: soothing music, light fragrances, warm colour palettes, soft textures.[2] Fourteen out of sixteen people, independently, said the atmosphere was what they remembered. Not the price of the room. Not the star count. The atmosphere.

"Luxury is not all about shiny and expensive stuff, but how the offerings of the hotel make you feel." — *Participant (Zhao), cited in Hung, Hsu & Yang (2024).*

What the research is describing — and what I experienced at the Vividora — is a specific sequencing problem that most luxury brands get wrong. They invest in the peak experience and ignore the arrival. They spend on the room and shortchange the lobby. But the limbic system doesn't care about the room yet. It's already decided how it feels about the place. That decision gets made in the first fifteen seconds, before the elevator.

III. WHO IS ACTUALLY IN THE ROOM

Understanding the Guest Before They Understand Themselves

Case Study No. 01 introduced the Motive × Context framework: the argument that the same consumer carries different motivations in different situations, and the right signal in the right context activates a specific version of identity that then drives specific behaviour. The framework operates in three layers: the core motive (Status, Belonging, Reward, Distinction, Safety, Transformation), the context modifiers that shape how that motive is expressed, and the signal style that will actually land.

Case Study No. 02 went further. The Toronto experiment showed that context doesn't just determine which motive is active — it determines which version of self is active. And that version of self can be constructed *before* the context exists. I built an elevated self through clothing before any environment existed to confirm it, and then brought it into spaces that responded accordingly.

What the Vividora adds is the inverse. Where Case Study No. 02 showed a self arriving into an environment and shaping how the environment was experienced, the Vividora shows an environment constructing a self. Not through pressure or performance, but through sensory design so layered and specific that by the time I reached the front desk, I was already a different version of myself than the one who had walked in from the street.

This is not a hospitality insight. This is a consumer psychology insight that hospitality happens to illustrate well. The same mechanism operates in a luxury retail store, a high-end restaurant, a car showroom, a private members' club. Every luxury environment is making a sensory argument to the guest's nervous system, whether it knows it or not. The question is whether that argument is deliberate or accidental.

Hung et al. (2024) found something that reframes the whole conversation about luxury audiences. Of the six affective states their participants reported — comfortable, relaxed, happy, peaceful, healed, and prestigious — the feeling of *prestigious* was the smallest, at just five percent of responses.[2] Five. The feeling brands spend the most money trying to produce is the one guests report least. What guests actually wanted, consistently and overwhelmingly, was to feel relaxed (thirty percent) and happy (twenty-six percent). Not impressive. Not elevated. *At ease.*

Which means the luxury consumer is not primarily chasing status, however much the industry has built itself on that assumption. They are chasing a specific kind of relief. The relief of being in a space that has thought about them. That is a very different brief.

IV. THE STAFF ARE THE PRODUCT

And Nobody Seems to Budget for Them That Way

Hung et al. (2024) found that exclusive services — welcoming service, attention to detail, employees' sincerity, remembering guests' names and preferences — was the single largest category of supportive experience and the primary driver of happiness.[2] Not the spa. Not the view. Not the Nespresso machine. The sincerity of the person who checked them in.

One participant described arriving at their hotel and being immediately assisted by front-desk staff: "I felt pleased." Another said that even with eyes closed, sincere smiles stayed in the mind. A third said returning to a hotel where staff remembered their name felt like returning home.

Derval (2024) has a neurological explanation for this. Highly social individuals — which describes most guests in a luxury context, since the desire to belong is one of the primary drivers of luxury consumption — unconsciously mirror the posture, gesture, and emotional affect of those around them. This is called the chameleon effect. It operates below conscious awareness.[1] A staff member who carries themselves with genuine ease produces ease in the guest. A staff member who is performing ease while internally running a service checklist produces, at the neurological level, something the guest registers as slightly off without knowing why.

This is why the Vividora's staff in their television-series uniforms mattered so much more than the uniforms themselves. It wasn't the outfit. It was that they inhabited the outfit. The chameleon effect ran in both directions: they were at ease, so I became at ease, so the whole interaction read as effortless luxury rather than performed hospitality.

Most luxury brands understand this in theory and ignore it in practice. They invest in the lighting design and underpay the front desk. They hire interior designers and give staff a forty-minute onboarding. But the staff are the sensory environment. They are the only part of it that can look back at you.

> *"Luxury hotels provide more than high-end facilities to serve guests' functional needs; these establishments seek to fulfill visitors' social, cultural, and emotional needs via sensory stimulation."* — *Hung, Hsu & Yang (2024). Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, 41(6).*

V. WHAT THIS MEANS BEYOND HOTELS

The Sensory Argument Applies Everywhere Luxury Is Sold

The Vividora is a hotel. But this case study is not about hospitality. It is about the mechanism by which any luxury environment constructs a felt experience in the body of the consumer before any rational evaluation has begun. That mechanism operates identically in a flagship store, a showroom, a private dining room, a beauty counter, a fitting room.

So here is what I think the research actually demands from luxury brands across every category:

Sequence the senses. Don't stack them. The instinct in sensory marketing is to activate everything simultaneously — scent and music and lighting and texture, all at once, all at full volume. That's not layering. That's noise. The right approach is to sequence by neurological speed: lead with scent (fastest, limbic, immediate), then sound (tempo-setting, emotional), then visual environment (confirmation, not announcement), then touch (at the moment of product contact, when it matters most). Each sense should arrive in time to do its specific job.

The fitting room is a psychology intervention, not a mirror. Case Study No. 02 established that physically wearing a garment — not seeing it, not imagining it, but actually wearing it — produces a measurable psychological shift. The fitting room is the moment a customer briefly inhabits the version of themselves wearing the item. Most brands design fitting rooms for product evaluation.

They should be designing them for identity activation: lighting that makes the customer look like the version of themselves they're trying to feel like, styling support that completes an ensemble rather than isolating one piece, and enough privacy that the customer can settle into the feeling before anyone asks them to decide.

The pre-arrival window is the most underused surface in luxury. Every luxury brand has a moment before the consumer arrives — a booking confirmation, a delivery notification, a welcome email, the packaging. Most treat these as logistics. They could treat them as the first act of sensory design. A reservation confirmation that says "We're looking forward to having you" is logistics. One that tells you what the lobby will smell like, what has been arranged for your arrival, what the evening looks like — that is the beginning of the experience. The consumer arrives having already begun to construct a version of themselves that belongs there.

Know which motive you're activating and design for that one. The Motive × Context framework from Case Study No. 01 asked: which motive is active right now, and what signal style fits that specific intersection? Sensory design is one of the most powerful signal style tools available — and it is almost entirely context-specific. A fragrance that communicates 'belonging' uses citrus and wood. A fragrance communicating 'power' uses oud and amber. A fragrance communicating 'reward' uses vanilla and warm spice.[1] These are not interchangeable. A brand that uses a single scent across every context, every consumer, every motive is making the same mistake as a brand that runs one campaign for everyone: reaching some people some of the time, and calling that strategy.

The iconic detail outperforms the expensive material. The rotary telephone at the Vividora was not costly. It was considered. Derval (2024) argues that classics — the products and gestures that define a luxury brand across time — earn that status because they respond to a physiological need in a way no competitor can replicate, not because they cost the most.[1] The relevant question for any luxury brand designing a space or a product is not: *how expensive does this look?* It is: *what will someone feel when they encounter this, and have we thought about that feeling in enough detail to earn it?*

VI. WHAT THE LOBBY WAS REALLY SAYING

Closing Thoughts

I have stayed in hotels with more impressive lobbies than the Vividora. Better views. Higher thread counts. I've stayed in places that were objectively more expensive and subjectively less memorable in every way that matters.

I remember the Vividora because it did something specific to me in the first fifteen seconds that most hotels never manage in five days: it made me feel like the version of myself I'd been trying to get to.

This is the triangle the three case studies have been building toward. Case Study No. 01: luxury is not about the object. It's about what the object allows someone to believe about themselves. Case Study No. 02: the self that encounters the luxury environment can be constructed in advance — through clothing, deliberate decision-making, the act of choosing which version of yourself to bring. Case Study No. 03: the environment can do that construction for you, if the sensory design is sequenced with enough intentionality, starting the moment you cross the threshold.

What the lobby was really saying — through the scent and the music and the light and the manner of the staff — was the same thing luxury has always tried to say. *You belong here.* Not because of what you own. Not because of what you paid. Because this space was designed for someone who would notice what we did, and you noticed.

The five-star rating told me the hotel was qualified. The lobby told me *I was*.

REFERENCES

[1] Derval, D. (2024). Designing Luxury Brands: The Science and Art of Luxury Consumer Behaviour (2nd ed.). Springer International Publishing. Chapters 3, 10, 11, 12. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54093-6

[2] Hung, K., Hsu, C. H. C., & Yang, X. (2024). Constructing a sensory model of Chinese luxury hotel experiences from mental imagery perspective. Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, 41(6), 791–810. https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2024.2349299